

A Proposal for a portable PTP

September 2011

Alessandro Rubini

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
1 History and Requirements	1
1.1 Different Protocols	1
1.2 Different Host Environments	2
2 Portability Tools	3
2.1 Use of Named Sections	3
2.2 Use of Weak Symbols	3
2.3 Use of Aliases	4
2.4 Use of Libraries	4
2.5 Use of Make Variables	5
3 A Design Guide	5
4 A Prototype	6
4.1 Licensing	6
4.2 The Prototype Protocol	6
4.3 Features of the Prototype Code	7
4.4 Bugs and Missing Points in the Prototype Code	7
4.5 Notes on Printf Size	8
5 Expected portability issues	8
6 Source Code Repositories	9
7 Acknowledgments	9

Introduction

The *White Rabbit* project is a multi-company multi-lab collaboration hosted at ohwr.org. Its aim is achieving sub-nanosecond synchronization among thousands of computers (“nodes”) over distances of several kilometers.

Within *White Rabbit*, the implementation of the gory details is in hardware and gateway, but the communication protocol is managed by a software package. The software project is designed to be compatible with IEEE-1588 version 2 (a.k.a. *Precision Time Protocol, version 2*, or PTPv2 or simply PTP). The PTP specification allows for protocol extensions, but the published implementation doesn’t do anything to ease writing them.

This is a proposal for a PTP implementation that better suits the needs of *White Rabbit* (which is a PTP extension) and possibly other users of the protocol, both with and without extensions, on diverse hardware platforms.

1 History and Requirements

The initial implementation of WR-PTP started as a fork of the official *PTPD* implementation for Posix environments, but the different requirements of the two projects led to massive changes in the code base.

To get the benefit of better maintainability of the code, but also to avoid code duplication, we are now aiming at unifying the code base of the two projects under a different layout. Our objective is designing a package that from a single set of source files can produce binaries to fulfill the 3 different PTPD binaries we are using:

- Standard PTP protocol within a Posix OS (i.e., GNU/Linux, BSD, possibly Windows);
- White-Rabbit PTP within a Posix OS (we use GNU/Linux, but there is no intrinsic limitation);
- White-Rabbit PTP on bare-metal, without a host Operating System.¹

What we need, in practice, is two configuration bits; the aim is building 4 different binaries from the same code base, to consolidate development efforts and improvements so anyone can exploit the advances. The design, however, should not limit protocol extensions to WR-PTP, it should arrange for any other extension to fit in the same source code package. For simplicity, only one extension (or none of them) can be enabled for each build.

The design suggested here is centered on WR-PTP, but other protocol extensions are expected to have similar requirements as White Rabbit. If new requirements emerge over time, they will call for new solutions, but I can’t foresee them at this point in time.

1.1 Different Protocols

The WR implementation of PTP is described in the *White Rabbit Specification*; current release as I write this is version 2. The document can be downloaded from the *Documents* section of the *White Rabbit* project in ohwr.org. Currently the direct link to the section and the file are <http://www.ohwr.org/documents/21> and <http://www.ohwr.org/attachments/656/wrspec.v2-06-07-2011.pdf>.

Standard PTP and WR-PTP can work together: a WR-PTP daemon will talk the standard protocol with non-WR peers. This behaviour is expected from all PTP extensions, so the standard protocol should always be available in PTP binaries, whether or not an extension has been selected at compile time.

¹ As a side-effect, the code can also build a standard PTP that runs on bare metal.

The protocol differences between the standard PTP and WR-PTP are mainly in three areas:

There are additional states in the state machine.

The PTP synchronization protocol is designed as a state machine, where the exchange of protocol packets causes transitions in the internal state of the daemon, while data is exchanged between daemon instances. In White Rabbit, the state machine has more states than in the IEEE 1588 specification, because WR needs to calibrate constant latencies in the physical Ethernet device. The extra states are only used in the initial handshaking of two WR-PTP daemons; while adding states to the PTP engine is illegal by the standard, we won't add states when talking to a non-WT PTP daemon.

Custom WR TLV tuples are used to exchange WR-specific data.

Standard PTP Signaling Messages are used to carry WR TLVs with WR-specific data. Standard PTP ANNOUNCE packets are augmented with trailing WR TLV data. The ANNOUNCE packet of PTP is a fixed-length packet; the WR-PTP implementation adds WR-specific information to the end of such packet, so WR nodes can identify their peer as a WR node. Further packets can carry extra information as trailing TLV tuples, which is the standard-supported extension mechanism.

WR-PTP runs an RPC server together with the state machine.

The hosted version of WR-PTP (not the one running on bare metal) needs to interact with other processes running on the host computer. This is accomplished through an RPC server that shares the main loop with the one of the state machine.

What we need to transparently support the extension in a PTP build, is

- Change the `switch` statement that runs the state machine;
- Call extension-specific functions at the end of standard processing for each state in the machine;
- Make the state-machine a callable function, so the main loop is external and other events may be multiplexed with it

Additionally, any extension will bring in its own data structure to keep track of its own status. Such data structure needs to be allocated, freed and passed over in all PTP-related calculations.

1.2 Different Host Environments

In order for the PTP code base (with or without extensions) to be able to run in a freestanding environment, we need to move all code that makes system calls to a different source file, providing alternative entry points to be filled by each port to a different freestanding environment.

This means that the base code can make no such call as `socket`, `recvmsg`, `sendto`. While the current upstream code base has already split all such calls to the `dep/` subdirectory, it isn't really prepared to be compiled for a freestanding environment. The PTP code uses `#ifdef` to make choices, and any port to a different environment will require patching most files within the `dep` subdirectory.

The approach currently taken by WR-PTP is to identify whether or not the compiler is configured for a hosted environment. If it is, the `Makefile` makes the final pass building an executable, pulling in glue code that maps everything to system calls; if the environment is a freestanding one, the big object file is left alone.

In our opinion, the final link for non-hosted environments is better done by a different package where the complete freestanding application lives. Each microprocessor and each freestanding environment have their own peculiarities, and the related memory maps are highly dynamic; we don't think it's worth cluttering the PTP code base with such low-level details –

in some way, it's not unlike what happens with dynamic linking: the executable being built is incomplete, and the final packing for execution is left to somebody else.

2 Portability Tools

In my experience, most stuff that used to be done by means of `#ifdef` can now be done much better with linker tricks or ordered use of libraries (where, again, it's the linker doing the choices).

Actually, the linker is nowadays the preferred way to achieve portability; it is heavily used as such by major boot loaders, by the Linux kernel and other projects. The kernel, in particular, uses it in several different ways and is a good source of information. Please be advised that my view of the world is biased, as I work mainly with kernel code.

The most useful features that can be used towards portability are described here. Note that some of them may not be used in the final code, depending on implementation details of the code I still have to check.

2.1 Use of Named Sections.

Note: named sections are not currently used in the prototype.

By placing data in named sections, you ask the linker to coalesce such data in the final object file (or executable). In other words, you can build static arrays at compile time, and the content of such arrays comes from different source files.

In this way you don't need a central header that prototypes everything, nor you need to `#ifdef` the members of the array. Each data structure in the array may include call-backs to its own source file, while the array itself may be used to parse input data.

With named sections, by simply adding or removing object files in your `Makefile`, you can add or remove features from the final program. Without patching a single line of code. Each new extension is just a set of files that are added to the source directory.

Example:

```
struct action __attribute__((__section__(".actions"))) myact = {
    .command = 10, .function = eat_cmd10,
};

for (p = &act_first; p < &act_last; p++)
    if (packet->command == p->command)
        p->f(packet);
```

Please note that coalescing of named sections requires a few lines in your linker script. A full, as-simple-as-possible example of using named sections is in my *povacca* package (see [Chapter 6 \[Source Code Repositories\]](#), page 9).

2.2 Use of Weak Symbols

A *weak* symbol is used by the linker to resolve an undefined symbol if no *strong* symbol with the same name is available in the set of input object files. All symbols are *strong* by default.

You can use a *weak* symbol to provide a default implementation for a function or a data structure, but such default can be overridden by any file in the link-set that exports a symbol with the same name.

This can be used to allow `#ifdef` around calls to optional code or use of optional pointers. Whether or not the extra feature is available, the main source file is unchanged. For example, some global pointers may be defined as `NULL` in a *weak* symbol, so the link step may instantiate a different value.

Examples:

```

int __attribute__((weak)) active_extension_nr = 0;
int __attribute__((weak)) run_extra_code(struct ourobj *p)
{
    return 0;
}

```

2.3 Use of Aliases

You can define a symbol to be an alias of another symbol. For example, a function can be defined as a weak alias of another function, say it's the default one. If no strong symbol is present in the link step, the weak symbol will be used; being an alias, you'll get the function redirected to the default one without any code or data in the object file. Non-weak aliases are less frequent, but useful anyways.

Aliases can be used to provide several defaults that do the same thing (for example, nothing) without writing several identical functions, or provide a default implementation that falls back on another implementation without duplicating the code – if the alias is weak, you still allow a *strong* function to take over, if available at link time.

You can also use aliases to provide alternate names for functions. For example, if your freestanding environment has a `printf`-compatible implementation called `mprintf`, you can declare an alias called `printf`. Historically (and in `ptp-noposix` too) this has been accomplished by `#define` one function name to the other, which is suboptimal for several reasons so renown that are not worth repeating.

Example (non-weak alias):

```

int __attribute__((alias(normal_proto))) extended_proto(struct ourobj *p);

```

2.4 Use of Libraries

Another way to deal with defaults and overrides (in addition to weak symbols) is defining several libraries.

The linker scans libraries listed in its command line in order. For example “`gcc something.o -lthis -lthat`” scans `libthis.a` looking for all undefined symbols of `something.o`; *then* it scans `libthat.a` looking for any remaining or new undefined symbols.

An unpleasant side effect is that `libthat.a` can't use symbols defined by `libthis.o`, unless the relevant object file has been picked from the library – not something you can count on. This ordered scan can be overridden by grouping libraries together, so they are considered as a whole, using `--start-group` and `--end-group`.

If you want to offer default implementations that are picked only if no other explicit choice has been made, you may use several libraries, linked in the proper order. For example, you can link `libdefaults.a` last, and have it define no-op functions for anything declared optional that is not implemented in the specific run of the build procedure.

This trick is available even with partial linking; it allows to select which input object files are part of the big output file according to the symbol being requested and provided by the various parts of the program. Please remember that the linker pulls a complete object file or none of it; so bot save space in the final binary and avoid errors of “duplicate symbol”, you may want to split your code in several source files or offer weak aliases.

Example:

```

ar r libarch.a $ARCH_OBJS
ar r libext.a $EXT_OBJS
ar r libdefault.a $DEF_OBJS
ld -r $CORE_OBJS -o program.o -L. -larch -lext -ldefault

```

2.5 Use of Make Variables

The tools described up to now allow to build different executable files without resorting to `#ifdef`, and without patching global files when a new extension is added to the source tree.

Selection of which files are to be compiled (and thus linked) should then be performed by means of *make* variable, which may be pre-set either on the command line or in the environment.

I strongly advise against modifications to the Makefile, as people who must adapt the Makefile to local needs will invariably have issues when committing or fetching from repositories used by other people, and local bits tend to leak to global places. What I'd like to use for compilation is something like this:

```
make CROSS_COMPILE=arm-linux PTP_EXT=white-rabbit
```

Clearly users can choose to export environment variables in order to simply call “*make*”, or can write their own scripts that pass proper arguments to the commands, without the risk to commit such local script.

3 A Design Guide

My proposal for a portable PTP, supporting WR-PTP and freestanding compilation as well as standard PTP operation is based on the following technical choices for the reasoning put forth so far. Some of the points below are for diagnosis and debugging, but rely on the same tools as the portability stuff. This list has been modified while writing the prototype, as there's nothing like banging your head on problems to see your design was wrong.

- The PTP engine (with or without extensions) is built as a big `.o` file. Glue code for standard system calls is only linked in for hosted compilation, otherwise leaving the object file alone (as in current WR-PTP).
- The state machine is described by a global table, An extension can define its own table, otherwise the default applies. Each state is implemented by a function that receives a pointer to the machine's status and possibly the new input packet just received.
- The global table defines two functions for each state, and they are called one after another. Standard PTP will have one function only, but extensions may choose to use the standard function and a custom one (this allows, for example, to handle trailing data in protocol packets). The prototype is like this, but since I have no extensions yet, I foresee a design change here.
- The states are integer values, and each extension is free to use any positive number above 100 (the low numbers are reserved for standard states).
- Each state in the state machine returns an integer value, which is an error code. The next state and the next delay are fields in the internal status; this allows the extension (second function in the table) to change what the default choice would have been. For example, WR-PTP has extra states after the ANNOUNCE packet, entered only if the peer is another WR-PTP node.
- No global data is ever used. The status structure and any other data is allocated on request using specific callbacks (freestanding implementations will most likely return a global structure, but this is an implementation detail of the specific use case). **Note:** the prototype is lazy in this, at this point in time.
- The code should make a number of diagnostic calls, calling specific function names (the set of such functions may increase during development). No *printf* or other *varargs* functions should be used in the state machine itself, as it increases the code size of the caller even when configuration selects a do-nothing implementation. However, the prototype offer a *printf*-like *varargs* function as it **is** useful during development, even if no users are there in production.

- In a seek for the maximum benefit between diagnostic information and code size, the code should offer three diagnostic levels: full *printf*, limited *printf*, no messages at all.
- All diagnostic functions are implemented as weak aliases to a function that does nothing. In this way, when compiling with no diagnostics, the overhead is limited to parameter passing in the caller and one “`return 0;`” function. A size-constrained freestanding implementation can implement no diagnostic functions at all or a limited number of them. If compiled, *printf* stuff takes precedence over the weak alias.
- From the above, the protocol operation is thus a function being called by external code, which calls the two state-machine functions for the current state. Thus, the main loop of the application is external, and the process (or freestanding CPU) may concurrently follow other activities. In some way, we may say PTPD acts as a library.

4 A Prototype

The subdirectory *proto* of this package includes a prototype. please check the README and the code in there.

Here’s just a quick description of the protocol, the features and the misfeatures of such code

4.1 Licensing

The prototype code, like the final PTP implementation we are going to write, is licensed according to the GNU LGPL, version 2.1 or later. Some files are individually released to the public domain, when I think they are especially simple or generic.

Both the full and the partial *printf* code is distributed according to the GPL-2, as it comes from the Linux kernel. This means that any code using our diagnostics fall under the GPL requirements; you may compile and use the diagnostic code internally with your own proprietary code but you can’t distribute binaries with diagnostics without the complete source code and associated rights. You may avoid the GPL requirements by using different *printf* implementations; if so we’d love to have them contributed back in the package.

For binaries without diagnostic code, the LGPL applies, as detailed below.

For licensing purposes, any *arch-name* or *timer-name* subdirectory “*can be reasonably considered independent and separate works in themselves*”. While code in the directories provided here is all LGPL, you may add your own drop-in subdirectories. The LGPL requirements for source distribution and associated permissions won’t apply to any such subdirectory that you may add, even if technically such code si not linked as a *library*.

Currently, there is no *timer-* support, it will be added in a way similar to what you already see for *arch-*.

4.2 The Prototype Protocol

The state machine is as simple as possible and uses raw sockets at protocol number 0xccc.

The daemon sends broadcast *announce* packets until it receives a reply. At which point communication proceeds with unicast packets. One of the peers is blessed master and the other slave. The slave polls the master every 2 seconds asking for timestamps, and reports (if diagnostics is there) the whole round-trip-time it measures.

The basic protocol only uses integer seconds. I will implement two extensions, one using microseconds and another using nanoseconds; the extensions will also have some extra states in the state machine. This will help checking how the design lends itself to easy support of extensions.

This is nothing like PTP or WR-PTP. I don't want, at this point, to pollute the portable design with complex protocol information. The code is a demonstration of the design techniques and code layout, not of the PTP protocol.

4.3 Features of the Prototype Code

The prototype code can compile in hosted or freestanding environments. The following versions are working in this release:

- hosted (`ARCH=gnu-linux`, selected by default if `ARCH` is unset).
- kernel-only without *libc* (`ARCH=bare-linux`).
- LM32 code running freestanding on the SPEC card (`ARCH=spec`).

For *bare-linux*, the code is compiled as a static ELF binary; all *syscall* code is included in the `arch-bare-linux` subdirectory. Unfortunately, it is IA32-specific, as it must make system calls in assembly.

Diagnostic code has three levels as described in [Chapter 3 \[A Design Guide\], page 5](#). Full diagnostics are like this:

```
fsm for 0804c008: ENTER    1 (packet len 0)
fsm for 0804c008: LEAVE   1 (next:    1 in 1000 ms)

fsm for 0804c008: ENTER    1 (packet len 60)
fsm for 0804c008: LEAVE   1 (next:    2 in 100 ms)

fsm for 0804c008: ENTER    2 (packet len 0)
fsm for 0804c008: LEAVE   2 (next:    2 in 2000 ms)

fsm for 0804c008: ENTER    2 (packet len 60)
fsm for 0804c008: LEAVE   2 (next:    2 in 1000 ms)

fsm for 0804c008: ENTER    2 (packet len 60)
MESSAGE for 0804c008: T1, T2, T3, T4: 1314484718 1314484718 1314484718 1314484718
MESSAGE for 0804c008: full delta: 0
fsm for 0804c008: LEAVE   2 (next:    2 in 2000 ms)
```

Limited diagnostics use a *printf* implementation that accepts the exact same format strings, but only obeys `%c` and `%s`; all the rest is printed as it was `<%08lx>`.

```
fsm for <0804b008>: ENTER <00000001> (packet len <00000000>)
fsm for <0804b008>: LEAVE <00000001> (next: <00000001> in <000003e8> ms)

fsm for <0804b008>: ENTER <00000001> (packet len <0000003c>)
fsm for <0804b008>: LEAVE <00000001> (next: <00000002> in <00000064> ms)

fsm for <0804b008>: ENTER <00000002> (packet len <00000000>)
fsm for <0804b008>: LEAVE <00000002> (next: <00000002> in <000007d0> ms)

fsm for <0804b008>: ENTER <00000002> (packet len <0000003c>)
fsm for <0804b008>: LEAVE <00000002> (next: <00000002> in <000003e8> ms)

fsm for <0804b008>: ENTER <00000002> (packet len <0000003c>)
MESSAGE for <0804b008>: T1, T2, T3, T4: <4e597266> <4e597266> <4e597266> <4e597266>
MESSAGE for <0804b008>: full delta: <00000000>
```

4.4 Bugs and Missing Points in the Prototype Code

I'd love to have extensions, but they are not there at this point.

I'd also like to compile and run on a smaller ARM system using ENC28J60 for Ethernet. I have the hardware and the compilers, but I need a few days to write and test the code.

At a few points while developing the prototype, I used global data. This means that while in theory the `pp_instance` may be instantiated several times concurrently, the current

code doesn't support it. I'll fix the problem, but it has been faster to do like that than extending the core data structure each time a new status item appeared in the code.

4.5 Notes on Printf Size

If you run `./MAKEALL` in the `proto/` subdirectory you'll see the binary size of the various programs: all supported architectures and all three diagnostic level. The binary for hosted-x86 is 4.7k (no diag), 6.2k (mini diag), 8.5k (full diag); for freestanding-x86 the sizes are 2.8k, 3.6k, 5.2k.

This is the size of the related object files, for x86:

text	data	bss	dec	hex filename
10	0	0	10	a diag-no.o
90	0	128	218	da diag-printf.o
511	0	0	511	1ff diag-yes.o
3608	0	0	3608	e18 printf-full.o
793	17	0	810	32a printf-mini.o

This is the size for LM32:

text	data	bss	dec	hex filename
8	0	0	8	8 diag-no.o
132	0	128	260	104 diag-printf.o
568	0	0	568	238 diag-yes.o
2680	0	0	2680	a78 printf-full.o
436	0	0	436	1b4 printf-mini.o

ARM has a bigger code size:

text	data	bss	dec	hex filename
28	0	0	28	1c ./diag-no.o
144	0	128	272	110 ./diag-printf.o
632	0	0	632	278 ./diag-yes.o
5948	0	0	5948	173c ./printf-full.o
1020	20	0	1040	410 ./printf-mini.o

Thus, we can say a full printf costs 3k-7k (includes *diag-yes* and *diag-printf*, while a limited one (but with a full parsing for the formats) costs less than 1kB.

As a comparison, this is the size of the object files when compiled for Cortex-M, a core with 16-bit-long instructions.

16	0	0	16	10 ./diag-no.o
100	0	128	228	e4 ./diag-printf.o
492	0	0	492	1ec ./diag-yes.o
3788	0	0	3788	ecc ./printf-full.o
812	20	0	832	340 ./printf-mini.o

5 Expected portability issues

The portability tools being used depend on features of the ELF binary formats, which is being used in most of the civilized world for both hosted and freestanding environments. However, some players in the OS marketplace are still using other obsolete formats, so we have extra issues to face because of them.

Microsoft Windows is a notable user of pre-ELF formats. Their choice of still using obsolete COFF files means that *weak* and *alias* may not be available there (I've not checked myself), but named sections (the most important feature) exists in COFF and can be used under

Windows. We managed to achieve portability of elf sections using simple changes to default ELF makefiles and linker scripts, using *povacca* as test case. (We are not using named sections in the prototype, but they may prove useful in a more complex application).

The syntax being used in my code for named sections and the rest is *gcc* syntax. This should not be a portability issue as *gcc* is the compiler of choice in all systems being used for PTP. If other compilers must be supported, an approach like `<linux/compiler.h>` may be used – the kernel was born as a *gcc-only* project, but now other important compilers are supported as well. Actually, hiding the ugly `__attribute__` is good in any case, and simpler macros should be used in C code through some preprocessor help, thus paving the way to support for other compilers.

6 Source Code Repositories

The discussion in this document refers to code found in the following repositories:

- The official PTP package is at <http://ptpd.sourceforge.net>.
- The current WR-PTP implementation is temporarily hosted at <git://gnudd.com/ptp-noposix.git>.
- Code for a freestanding WR-PTP environment is at <git://github.com/twlostow/wr-core-software.git>.
- The hosted implementation of WR-PTP is part of *wr-switch-sw* at <git://ohwr.org/white-rabbit/wr-switch-sw.git>.
- A minimal example of using sections is *povacca*, something I wrote for a short lesson and now I pushed at <git://gnudd.com/povacca.git>.
- The source for this document and associated code is at <git://gnudd.com/ptp-proposal.git>.

7 Acknowledgments

The PTP specification is an IEEE effort with a number of authors.

The official PTPD is the work of George V. Neville-Neil, Steven Kreuzer, Gael Mace, Alexandre Van Kempen, Kendall Correll, Aidan Williams.

The WR-PTP is hence forked and mostly written by Tomasz Wlostowski, Maciej Lipinski and Grzegorz Daniluk.

The effort of porting PTP and WR-PTP to be portable is taken care of by Marco Pizamiglio, who's also addressing compatibility issues of the proposed approach with compilation under Windows (using *gcc*, though).

The *White Rabbit* project is a multi-company multi-lab collaboration hosted at ohwr.org. Many people have been involved in designing building and running software, hardware and gateware within the project.